

Spokane Transit Authority
1230 West Boone Avenue
Spokane, WA 99201-2686
(509) 325-6000

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

Wednesday, April 6, 2016, 10:00 a.m.
Spokane Transit Southside Conference Room

AGENDA

Estimated meeting time: 90 minutes

1. Call to Order and Roll Call
2. Public Expressions
3. Committee Chair Report (*5 minutes*)
4. Committee Action (*5 minutes*)
 - A. Minutes of the March 2, 2016, Committee Meeting – *corrections/approval*
5. Committee Action (*55 minutes*)
 - A. Board Consent Agenda
(No items being presented this month)
 - B. Board Action Agenda – Committee Recommendations Agenda
 1. West Plains Transit Center -
 - a. Project Phasing Update – *Karl Otterstrom*
 - b. Grant Applications Update – *Karl Otterstrom*
 2. Draft Transit Development Plan: Finalize Mid-Range Planning Guidance – *Karl Otterstrom*
 - C. Board Discussion Agenda
 1. Draft Transit Development Plan - Revenue & Expenditure Forecast Assumptions – *Lynda Warren/Karl Otterstrom*
 2. STA Moving Forward Ten Year Plan & Funding Discussion – *Karl Otterstrom/Lynda Warren*
6. Reports to Committee (*10 minutes*)
 - A. Central City Line – Alignment & Mode Refinements – *Karl Otterstrom*
7. Committee Information – *no discussion- staff available for questions*
8. CEO Report (E. Susan Meyer) (*10 minutes*)
9. New Business
10. Committee Members' Expressions (*10 minutes*)
 - A. American Public Transportation Association Legislative Conference Update – *Candace Mumm/E. Susan Meyer*
11. Review May 4, 2016, Committee Meeting Agenda
12. Adjourn
13. Next Committee Meeting: May 4, 2016, 10:00 a.m. (STA Southside Conference Room, 1230 W Boone Avenue, Spokane, WA)

Agendas of regular Committee and Board meetings are available the Friday afternoon preceding each meeting at the STA Administrative Office, 1230 West Boone Avenue, Spokane, Washington. Discussions concerning matters to be brought to the Board are held in Committee meetings. The public is welcome to attend and participate. Spokane Transit assures nondiscrimination in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. For more information, see www.spokanetransit.com. Upon request, alternative formats of this information will be produced for people who are disabled. The meeting facility is accessible for people using wheelchairs. For other accommodations, please call 325-6094 (TTY Relay 711) at least forty-eight (48) hours in advance.

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 2 : PUBLIC EXPRESSIONS

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: N/A

SUMMARY:

At this time, the Planning & Development Committee will give the public an opportunity to express comments or opinions.

Anyone wishing to speak should sign in on the sheet provided and indicate the subject of interest.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: N/A

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 3 : COMMITTEE CHAIR REPORT

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: Amber Waldref, Chair, Planning & Development Committee

SUMMARY:

At this time, the Committee Chair will have an opportunity to comment on various topics of interest regarding Spokane Transit.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: N/A

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____


Legal Counsel _____

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 4.A : **MINUTES OF THE MARCH 2, 2016 COMMITTEE MEETING –
CORRECTIONS AND/OR APPROVAL**

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: Angela Stephens, Executive Assistant 

SUMMARY:

Minutes of the March 2, 2016 Committee meeting are attached for your information, corrections and/or approval.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Corrections and/or approval.

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

Spokane Transit Authority
1230 West Boone Avenue
Spokane, Washington 99201-2686
(509) 325-6000

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
MEETING 10:00 A.M.

Draft Minutes of the March 2, 2016, Meeting
Southside Conference Room

MEMBERS PRESENT

Amber Waldref, City of Spokane*
Candace Mumm, City of Spokane
Ed Pace, City of Spokane Valley
E. Susan Meyer, CEO, Ex-Officio

MEMBERS ABSENT

Shelly O'Quinn, Spokane County
Kevin Freeman, Small Cities Representative
(Millwood), Ex-Officio

STAFF PRESENT

Steve Blaska, Director of Operations
Steve Doolittle, Director of Human Resources
Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning
Lynda Warren, Director of Finance & Information Services
Brandon Ropez-Betty, Sr. Communications Specialist
Merilee Robar, Executive Assistant, Finance & Information Systems

Guests

Al French, Spokane County

*Chair

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Chair Waldref called the meeting to order at 10:04 a.m. Roll was called.

2. PUBLIC EXPRESSIONS

None.

3. COMMITTEE CHAIR REPORT

None.

4. COMMITTEE ACTION

A. MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 3, 2016, COMMITTEE SPECIAL MEETING

Ms. Mumm moved to recommend approval of the February 3, 2016, Planning & Development Committee meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Pace. Motion passed unanimously.

5. BOARD ACTION – COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AGENDA

A. BOARD CONSENT AGENDA

No items being presented this month.

B. BOARD ACTION AGENDA

No items being presented this month.

C. BOARD DISCUSSION AGENDA

1. STA MOVING FORWARD PLAN & FUNDING DISCUSSION

Mr. Otterstrom said that there were no further requests for information at the February Board meeting so no new information is being presented at this time. The Committee level discussion is an opportunity to review the data and timeline. Chair Waldref said she would like to forward the slides regarding how the system is used and the STA Moving forward timeline on to other council members as it is related to discussions they are having about how many people travel to work in the city of Spokane from outside of the city. Over half of the people that work downtown do not live in the city. Mr. Otterstrom said that within 1.5 miles of the STA Plaza, less than half of the people that work in that radius live in the City of Spokane. Approximately 30% of all jobs in the PTBA are within 1.5 miles of the Plaza and of those jobs, less than 50% are filled by City of Spokane residents. Mr. Pace said that he saw a similar graphic in the City of Spokane Valley's review of its comprehensive plan and existing conditions. A small percentage both live and work in the City of Spokane Valley. Discussion ensued regarding existing conditions and office vacancy rates and population/land use trends in the Valley. Mr. Pace said that the areas that were designated for office space use in the Valley's last comprehensive plan (Mullan and Argonne, for example) have a high vacancy rate. Mr. Pace said businesses want to locate along the freeway and Indiana so something needs to change. Mr. French asked what the frequency is on Argonne & Mullan. Mr. Otterstrom responded that it is 30 minute frequency. STA Moving Forward looked at the possibility of providing a freeway flyer stop at Argonne and Mullan on I-90 but the cost was high and it did not make the final cut. It is still a long-term consideration for the I-90 corridor.

Ms. Waldref said she has been pulling some national data on economic development that is generated from transit investments. Ms. Waldref offered to provide the data to STA staff. Eugene, Oregon was cited as an example of returned investment. Over approximately a decade, Eugene experienced a four-fold increase based on community investment in the transit system. Ms. Mumm said that research is showing that transit investment is an economic driver and revenue generator nationwide. Data received at the APTA (American Public Transportation Association) conference supports this. Ms. Mumm said that cities that see transit investment as an economic generator are seeing results whereas the cities that see investment in transit as an expense only are dying and their workforce is moving away. Chair Waldref made the point that it is not always apples to oranges for capital investments. With transit investment there is actually a greater return. Ms. Waldref said her desire is that the Board consider a system-wide financial investment that will benefit all, and not just be seen as a sales tax increase, but an increase in economic activity and opportunity.

Mr. French said the past months' conversations have been leading up to a Board decision in March to give direction for what STA will be doing in the next nine to twelve months. To that end, Ms. Waldref asked if there was anything that needed to be prepared for the March Board meeting. Mr. French said he anticipates asking the question a final time at the Board meeting about whether there is anything further needed in order to make a decision. The STA Moving Forward plan has already been approved by the Board as a blueprint for the future of STA and the Board has identified that additional funding is needed to support the plan. Mr. French said he anticipates the March Board discussion to be about "when and how much?" The Board needs to provide direction to STA staff and also the City of Spokane so a decision can be made about how to go forward with regard to the Central City Line funding. Ms. Mumm asked if the Planning & Development Committee had made a recommendation at the February meeting. Chair French said that a recommendation had been made on the plan but not on the ballot measure. Chair French said the Committees are in place to provide direction to the Board to assist in making decisions. Ms. Mumm said she will not be able to attend the March Board meeting but will call in. There was discussion about whether a blank resolution should be drafted for the Board to fill in. The consensus was that this action should come from the Board at its pleasure.

Mr. Blaska asked if it would be relevant to provide materials at the Board meeting regarding the different scenarios discussed for reference. Mr. Pace said he thought that would be helpful. Mr. Pace said that the perception is still that buses are near empty or not being used and he would like data proving that perception is wrong if that is the case. Mr. Otterstrom said that the Annual Route Report has metrics that provide the data Mr. Pace requested. Mr. Otterstrom said that since the 2011 service adjustments and investments were made, most routes in the Valley have seen growth of approximately 400% on local routes. Routes that used to have 90 people per day now carry 500-700. Mr. Pace said that some might say that is 500% of 1 – 5 people. Mr. French offered to attend some the formal and informal meetings in the Valley to help dispel these perceptions. Mr. Pace said that would be good. Ms. Meyer added that in the annual route performance report, compared to other systems, Spokane Transit's service effectiveness per revenue mile is second in the state only to King County Metro. Spokane Transit's average passenger per square mile is 27.5. Mr. Pace said that was interesting from a transit system management perspective but what does it mean to a person who is only interested in driving a car? Mr. French said it means to the person in the car that instead of sitting behind 40 other people in cars they are behind one bus and that is congestion relief. Mr. French said buses make it easier to get to where you need to be. Mr. French mentioned another thing heard in the industry is that you cannot build your way out of congestion. A public transportation system is needed to reduce the cost. Mr. French said that for those who really love to use their cars and want to get there on good roads, a good transit system is required, otherwise you are going to tax yourself out of your house and business. Mr. French added that the example is valid nationwide. Mr. Pace said he appreciated the information. Chair Waldref said there is more return on investment and lower cost to increase a transit system than to pay for new roads, intersections and bridges. Mr. Pace said that a problem for the Spokane Valley that the City of Spokane doesn't have is bridging railroad tracks. Mr. Pace said most people in the Valley think of the railroad tracks when they think of congestion, such as around Trent and Barker. Mr. Pace said that from almost the city limits south on Barker to the freeway and beyond is congested. Ms. Mumm agreed that the frequency of the rail use has changed things and maybe people in the Valley are becoming more aware of the traffic issues because of it. Mr. Pace agreed but said that as an STA Board member his problem is that Valley residents don't see buses as a solution to the problem. They see bridges and underpasses as the solution.

Chair French brought up the issue of the public comments that were made at the February Board meeting regarding the Sprague route. Mr. French said it was difficult to hear strong supporters criticizing the agency and wondered how the agency could respond to their concerns. Chair Waldref asked if that could be addressed in the CEO report as there have been some further developments. Ms. Meyer asked if the question was also about the comments made by folks in Peaceful Valley? Mr. French said that Peaceful Valley is an operational question to be worked out by the City of Spokane regarding construction and his question was more in regard to Sprague comments. Chair Waldref said she has made a plea to public works on the Peaceful Valley issue and thinks a solution can be worked out with STA. Ms. Meyer said that STA can provide a shuttle. Mr. Pace asked to bring up another perception of the Spokane Valley. Mr. Pace gave an example of his daughter-in-law who is part of the demographic that favors walkability and transit. Discussion ensued. Ms. Waldref said that the City of Spokane actually has more 20 - 34 year olds than Seattle. Mr. Pace said that Spokane Valley is generally older. Ms. Mumm said that many young people are not tied to homes, are opting out of sprawl and are going for urbanized areas that have transit. Ms. Mumm asked the question, does the City of Spokane Valley want to attract young people? If so, they should look at transit. Mr. French added that some of the demand for an area, such as office space, is getting people to that destination so that there is a customer base. Transit's role is getting customers to destinations and there is a direct connection between transit and economic growth. Making transit a part of the Valley's comprehensive plan and identifying where the Valley wants to see growth would be very interesting to see at the Board level so it can be supportive. Mr. Pace asked if some of that information had been relayed from the meeting the Spokane Valley Chamber had that STA participated in. Mr. Otterstrom said that the information had been relayed and, like any other new transit investment, it would require more funding. Discussion ensued regarding secondary investments and connecting destinations. As changes are made to the system, further changes and restructures are made possible to improve the system. Ms. Mumm said it is interesting to look back at the history of transit

in Spokane, it was funded to a large degree by the real estate industry. Real estate companies knew that if they built next to transit they would have the ability to sell more homes. Chair Waldref said she appreciated the good conversation. There being no further questions, the Committee moved to the next item of business.

6. REPORTS TO COMMITTEES

A. DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN: MID-RANGE PLANNING GUIDANCE

Mr. Otterstrom reviewed the Transit Development Plan (TDP) requirement and the background of the six year plan. The TDP is Spokane Transit's primary mid-range planning document and is required to be submitted to the Washington State Department of Transportation annually. At the February 3rd Planning and Development meeting the Committee began the process of developing the 2016 Transit Development Plan (TDP). The TDP, STA's primary mid-range planning document, is expected to be adopted in July 2016 and will include the Capital Improvement Program and the Service Implementation Plan. The planning horizon of the 2016 TDP is through 2022. Final action is expected in July.

The first step in the development of the TDP is for the Board to set forth six-year planning guidance statements. The Committee started the process of developing mid-range guidance by engaging in a discussion about what the agency should accomplish in the 6-year horizon, the roles of the agency in the regional transportation system and how the agency should respond to regional growth.

Some of the themes from the Committee's February discussion included:

- Maintain and grow ridership and frequency
- Grow ridership relative to resources available
- Identify what STA can control in relation to ridership, what national trends drive ridership and what drives demand locally in communities of Spokane's size
- Grow the system consistent with community growth
- Respond to demographic behavioral trends (youth desires)
- Save families money
- Improve quality of life
- Provide an affordable and convenient option
- Provide workforce delivery and connections to educational opportunities
- Play a strong role in implementing community planning strategies and the regional vision
- Help decrease parking demand
- Contribute to Transportation Demand Management
- Respond to current trends and new technology

Mr. Otterstrom mentioned the timeliness of the theme to "play a strong role" with the Spokane Valley's comprehensive plan update and the "Big Five" initiative by the Valley Chamber. Mr. Otterstrom noted that at the Economic Forecast luncheon in February put on by the Valley Chamber of Commerce, Mr. Steve Scranton brought up the role of the coming demographics and the desire of this demographic to live in walkable and transit friendly communities.

Mr. Otterstrom went on to review the mid-range guidance that based on input received last year and more recent Committee input. The Committee provided some additional modifications to the draft mid-range guidance and the following will be presented to the Board for review or further refining:

- **Foster and Sustain Quality.** Continue initiatives and projects that improve the quality and usefulness of STA's services, facilities, information, and customer service, as well as add value to the community and improve the quality of life in the region. Employ new technologies and industry trends that advance these ends.

- **Maintain a State of Good Repair.** Continue vehicle replacement and facility maintenance/improvement programs in order to avoid the problematic consequences of deferred action.
- **Expand Ridership.** Continue to foster ridership markets in line with the principles of *Connect Spokane*. Identify and leverage the factors that drive ridership and can be influenced locally in communities of Spokane's size. Outside of travel to home, work and school trips make up the majority of trips taken on STA services. Continue to foster these foundational markets while expanding the usefulness of service for other travel purposes.
- **Proactively Partner in the Community.** Coordinate with jurisdictions and other agencies to implement community planning, economic development strategies and pursue the agency's sustainability goals. Be a leader in implementing the regional transportation visions.
- **Advance and Adapt the System Growth Strategy.** Grow the transit system consistent with community growth and resources. Respond to changing demographic and behavioral trends. Ensure that maintenance and operations facilities are sized to accommodate cost effective growth plans.

Ms. Mumm said that speaking to the "foster and sustain quality" bullet, perhaps the biggest benefit to the current and upcoming demographics is how much money they save. Ms. Mumm quoted the statistic that one out of five residents in Spokane falls below the poverty line and the statistics are getting worse. The cost savings of using transit has been demonstrated and possibly one of the best things that can be provided to alleviate poverty. Another piece to add in is transit's positive impact on the environment. People are making choices based on carbon footprint and responsibility to the environment. It was agreed the environmental impact piece would be good to reference in the plan.

Mr. Otterstrom referenced the 2016-2022 Major Activities found in the packet. The draft 2016-2022 Major Activities (highlights) will be presented in the plan as follows:

Draft TDP Major Activities 2016-2022

Customer and Community Outreach

- Employer Sponsored Bus Pass Program expansion
- Universal Transit Access Pass (UTAP) expansion
- Expand the number of retail bus pass outlets
- Continuation of the surplus van grant program
- Investigate alternative methods of advertising

Service Development

- Procure a new contract for supplemental paratransit service (as early as 2018)
- Implement STA Moving Forward/HPT Network Development (2016-2022) commensurate with funding availability

Facilities and Fleet

- Complete Plaza renovation
- Fleet replacement (2016-2022)
- Expand maintenance facilities to meet existing and planned needs

System Management

- Study and implement changes to the fare structure
- Implement FTA Safety Management Systems (SMS)
- Manager and supervisor leadership training
- Comprehensive employee compensation study
- Develop and implement procedures to periodically review the condition of bus stop areas and bus stop amenities.

Technology

- Complete CAD/AVL implementation and Real Time Information deployment
- Fixed route radio replacement
- Fixed route and paratransit camera systems upgrade
- Complete business systems implementation

- Smart Card upgrade/farebox upgrade
- Expand On-board Wi-Fi availability

Planning

- 2016/2017 update to Connect Spokane: A Comprehensive Plan for Public Transportation
- Study strategies to address gaps in services to populations with special mobility needs
- Title VI Plan update
- Develop a Transit Asset Management Plan pursuant to new federal requirements
- Develop an ADA Transition Plan to systematically address obstacles to accessibility to bus stops

A modified draft will be presented in the March Board packet for information. In April staff will ask for a recommendation from the Committee to move the TDP on to the full Board for review and interaction regarding what needs to be included in the plan for the preliminary draft in May.

Mr. Pace asked if staff has been working with City of Spokane Valley staff on the transit and economic development segment of its comprehensive plan. Mr. Otterstrom responded that Kathleen Weinand has been working with the City of Spokane Valley. Mr. Pace said he thought the Argonne/Mullan corridor that has high office vacancies may be a good area to target for development and he would like to be involved in a discussion with STA and City of Spokane Valley staff regarding this. Mr. Otterstrom said he would follow up on that. The Committee agreed that there is a lot of opportunity in the Valley. Ms. Mumm mentioned that the City of Spokane and the City of Spokane Valley used to get together several times a year to share best practices and she suggested that it might be a good idea to get that started again. Mr. Pace agreed that would be a great idea.

7. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

No items being presented this month.

8. CEO REPORT

Ms. Meyer reported on the resolution that passed at the Liberty Lake City Council meeting that allows the mayors of any of the identified small cities to serve as alternates for the City of Liberty Lake on the STA Board if the appointee and appointed alternate are not available.

Ms. Meyer reported on items to be discussed at the March Board of Directors meeting including:

- Request Award of Contract for the Plaza Construction project to Walker Construction.
 - The contract is within budget
 - Lots of planning and coordinating for mitigation during construction will take place internally and with downtown partners

Ms. Waldref asked what items had been changed to enable the bid to be within budget. Mr. Otterstrom responded with three different categories that were changed: 1) Phasing – consolidating work areas and closure (the Sprague entrance will need to be closed for a period of time); 2) Clarifying specifications; 3) Non-essential elements were identified as additives and are separate bids. STA is not completing all of the additives at this time to save cost.

Ms. Meyer reported that the third open house for the Central City Line was held on March 1, 2016. It was very well attended and people who attended were engaged in the workshop activities. That was the last open house while talking about the alignment, shelter amenities, and strategic overlay plan. Staff will summarize the input received and report back to the Board in the future.

The Board has been invited to attend the STA Safety and Performance Awards Banquet on Sunday, March 6, 2016. More than 300 people have RSVP'd for this event.

Commissioner French, Council Member Mumm, Mayor Freeman and Ms. Meyer will attend the American Public Transportation Association (APTA) Legislative Conference in Washington, D.C. They will be meeting with

legislators and also Federal Transit Administration staff for a general update and to talk about the Central City Line. Ms. Mumm mentioned that she had received a call from the City of Spokane's federal lobbyist who asked if he could be of assistance for anything this spring that has a link to the City of Spokane. Ms. Meyer said she would check with the Ombudsman for STA regarding this. Ms. Mumm asked if a schedule of STA's appointments in D.C. and a status report on STA's request could be sent to Mr. Peckinpaugh.

STA will be hosting the American Bus Benchmarking Group (ABBG) Paratransit workshop March 22 – 23, 2016. The ABBG Working Group on Paratransit Operations workshop will include comparing performance, sharing experience and identifying best practices. The ABBG said that they were impressed with STA's Paratransit operation in the last workshop. Approximately twenty agencies will participate in the next working group meeting in Spokane.

Other items of interest:

- The state auditor currently is conducting its annual audit of STA.
- STA will have a bus in the St. Patrick's parade.
- The Roadeo, professional driving competition takes place March 26, 2016.
- The state supplemental transportation budget is being negotiated at this time. STA hopes that the transportation supplemental budget will be approved so that the capital and operating budget can be approved. Staff has communicated that STA needs the funding in 2017 or that biennia to have a strong application for a Small Starts grant.
- STA will host a job fair at the STA Plaza March 4, 2016. This is in partnership with the Next Generation Zone.

9. NEW BUSINESS

None.

10. COMMITTEE MEMBERS' EXPRESSIONS

Ms. Meyer reported that STA will provide a shuttle to Peacefully Valley during downtown construction this summer. Ms. Waldref said the City of Spokane will work to make sure the construction contract will require specifics about construction timeframes so STA can schedule the shuttle and bus detours.

Ms. Waldref mentioned that Mr. Otterstrom had presented the goals of transit and potential future condition of High Performance Transit (HPT) in the Sprague corridor at the last meeting of the City Transportation sub-committee which is comprised of citizens, council members, city and STA staff. Some of the Sprague business owners that expressed concerns regarding HPT in the Sprague corridor at the February Board meeting were asked to attend the meeting. The East Sprague Business Association is not believed to have taken an official position. Ms. Waldref said she feels there needs to be more education on what High Performance Transit is in answer to some of the concerns expressed. City staff is comfortable with the HPT design and suggested that STA meet with a specific concerned business owner. Ms. Walderf offered to be a part of this meeting. Ms. Meyer said that STA has reached out to the business owner but has not received a reply as yet. Discussion ensued about Sprague lane narrowing and the consensus of the business owners along the corridor. Mr. French relayed his wish to address the concerns that have been voiced by several east Sprague business owners. Ms. Waldref said that she respects that the strong opinions expressed by a few business owners along Sprague at the February Board meeting do need to be addressed. However, the City has conducted door-to-door polling of businesses on east Sprague and there are many that do not share the same concerns. Ms. Waldref said it is important to listen to the context of all of the business owners since not all share the same concerns. Mr. Otterstrom said that the bus stops are not operating as effectively as they will be if HPT elements are added in such as being able to get to the curbs to load/unload and reducing several stops. Mr. Otterstrom added that contrary to what was publicly expressed at the Board meeting, the design presented is the design presented by MIG which was vetted by the neighborhood in 2011 and 2012. Further discussion ensued.

Ms. Mumm asked if the Committee would be interested in moving the July 6 meeting to June 29th due to being so close to the July 4 holiday when many are out of town. Neither the Spokane City Council nor the County Commission are scheduled to meet that week and many take that opportunity for a vacation. Ms. Waldref said she would not be able to meet on either of those dates so that would be fine with her and she would need to ask someone to chair the meeting on her behalf. Ms. Mumm said she will not be able to attend if the meeting is on July 6. Staff will check into rescheduling the July meeting. Mr. Otterstrom said that there is typically a budget guidance workshop in July that would need to be considered as well. Perhaps the workshop could be scheduled for June 29th as well.

11. REVIEW OF APRIL 6, 2016, COMMITTEE MEETING DRAFT AGENDA

As presented in the packet with the addition of a report from the Washington, D.C. trip.

12. NEXT MEETING – WEDNESDAY, APRIL 6, 2016, 10:00 A.M. STA SOUTHSIDE CONFERENCE ROOM, 1230 W BOONE AVENUE

13. ADJOURN

Chair Waldref adjourned the meeting at 11:44 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Angela Stephens, Executive Assistant

SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 5.B.1.a: West Plains Transit Center – Project Phasing Update

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning
Don Skillingstad, Capital Projects Manager

SUMMARY: This item is to provide an update on the West Plains Transit Center, a key facility in improving connectivity on the West Plains, and to potentially seek action on the item at the Committee’s discretion.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Discussion and potential action.

RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD:

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 5.B.1.b: West Plains Transit Center - Grant Applications Update

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning
Don Skillingstad, Capital Projects Manager

SUMMARY:

This item is to provide an update on emergent grant application opportunities for the West Plains Transit Center, a key facility in improving connectivity on the West Plains, and to potentially seek action on the item at the Committee's discretion.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Discussion and potential action.

RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD:

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM **5.B.2** : DRAFT TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN: FINALIZE MID-RANGE PLANNING GUIDANCE

REFERRAL COMMITTEE:

SUBMITTED BY: Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning
Kathleen Weinand, Transit Planner II

SUMMARY: At the February 3rd Planning and Development meeting the Committee began the process of developing the 2016 Transit Development Plan (TDP). The TDP, STA's primary mid-range planning document, is expected to be adopted in July 2016 and will include the Capital Improvement Program and the Service Implementation Plan. The planning horizon of the 2016 TDP is through 2022.

The first step in the development of the TDP is for the Board to set forth six-year planning guidance statements. The Committee started the process of developing mid-range guidance by engaging in a discussion about what the agency should accomplish in the 6-year horizon, the roles of the agency in the regional transportation system and how the agency should respond to regional growth.

Based on this discussion and the mid-range planning guidance from last year, staff prepared draft guidance statements as a starting point for discussion. The Committee discussed these statements at its March 2nd meeting and proposed revisions which have been incorporated (see below). The Committee also reviewed another element of the TDP, the draft Major Activities, at their March meeting (see revised attached). The Committee is now asked to make its final recommendation to the Board of Directors on the Mid-Range Guidance statements.

Draft 2016 TDP Mid-Range Guidance

- **Foster and Sustain Quality.** Continue initiatives and projects that improve the quality and usefulness of STA's services, facilities, information, and customer service, as well as add value to the community and improve the quality of life in the region. Employ new technologies and industry trends that advance these ends.
- **Maintain a State of Good Repair.** Continue vehicle replacement and facility maintenance/improvement programs in order to avoid the problematic consequences of deferred action.
- **Expand Ridership.** Continue to foster ridership markets in line with the principles of *Connect Spokane*. Identify and leverage the factors that drive ridership and can be influenced locally in communities of Spokane's size. Outside of travel to home, work and school trips make up the majority of trips taken on STA services. Continue to foster these foundational markets while expanding the usefulness of service for other travel purposes.
- **Proactively Partner in the Community.** Coordinate with jurisdictions and other agencies to implement community planning and economic development strategies and pursue the agency's sustainability goals. Be a leader in implementing the regional transportation visions.
- **Advance and Adapt the System Growth Strategy.** Grow the transit system consistent with community growth and resources. Respond to changing demographic and behavioral trends. Ensure that maintenance and operations facilities are sized to accommodate cost effective growth plans.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Recommend that the Board approve, as amended, the 2016 Mid-Range Guidance Statements for inclusion in the 2016 Transit Development Plan.

RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD:

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

Draft TDP Major Activities 2016-2022

Customer and Community Outreach

- Employer Sponsored Bus Pass Program expansion
- Universal Transit Access Pass (UTAP) expansion
- Expand the number of retail bus pass outlets
- Continuation of the surplus van grant program
- Investigate alternative methods of advertising

Service Development

- Procure a new contract for supplemental paratransit service (as early as 2018)
- Implement STA Moving Forward/HPT Network Development (2016-2022) commensurate with funding availability

Facilities and Fleet

- Complete Plaza renovation
- Fleet replacement (2016-2022)
- Expand maintenance facilities to meet existing and planned needs

System Management

- Study and implement changes to the fare structure
- Implement FTA Safety Management Systems (SMS)
- Manager and supervisor leadership training
- Comprehensive employee compensation study
- Develop and implement procedures to periodically review the condition of bus stop areas and bus stop amenities.

Technology

- Complete CAD/AVL implementation and Real Time Information deployment
- Fixed route radio replacement
- Fixed route and paratransit camera systems upgrade
- Complete business systems implementation
- Smart Card upgrade/farebox upgrade
- Expand On-board Wi-Fi availability

Planning

- 2016/2017 update to Connect Spokane: A Comprehensive Plan for Public Transportation
- Study strategies to address gaps in services to populations with special mobility needs
- Title VI Plan update
- Develop a Transit Asset Management Plan pursuant to new federal requirements

SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 5.C.1 : DRAFT TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN: REVENUE & EXPENDITURE FORECAST ASSUMPTIONS

REFERRAL COMMITTEE:

SUBMITTED BY: Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning
Lynda Warren, Director of Finance and Information Services

SUMMARY: In order to complete a draft Transit Development Plan (TDP), financial results need to be completed. At the October 2015 Board meeting, the Board agreed on the following major assumptions:

Revenue

- Sales Tax will remain on a 3% trend based on historical trends since 1993 through 2013.
- There will be increases in fares between 2016 and 2021 in order to align with the board-adopted policy to maintain a 20% farebox recovery for fixed-route operations.
- FTA Section 5307 Urbanized Formula funds, federal funding that is used primarily for preventive maintenance and is the third largest source of operating revenue, will grow consistent with the growth rate in the 2015 FAST (Fixing America's Surface Transportation) Act and then grow at a 1% rate thereafter through the remainder of the planning horizon.

Expenditures

- The annual budget creates the baseline for operating expenses.
- Operating expenses the year following the budget remain flat.
- Operating expenses grow at 3% beginning the second year after the budget.
- Fully fund the Capital Improvement Program through the TDP period (2022)

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Discuss and affirm concurrence on the assumptions for the 2016 Transit Development Plan.

RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD:

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 5.C.2 : STA MOVING FORWARD PLAN & FUNDING DISCUSSION

REFERRAL COMMITTEE:

SUBMITTED BY: Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning
Lynda Warren, Director of Finance & Information Services

SUMMARY:

The purpose of this item is to continue the STA Board discussion on the subject of funding and implementing *STA Moving Forward*.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Discussion.

RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD:

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 6.A : CENTRAL CITY LINE – ALIGNMENT & MODE REFINEMENTS

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning
Don Skillingstad, Capital Projects Manager

SUMMARY: In July 2011, the STA Board adopted the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) which defined the alignment and vehicle mode for the Central City Line. The alignment extended from the Browne’s Addition Neighborhood, east through downtown Spokane, through the University District to the Gonzaga University campus. The vehicle mode that was selected was a rubber tired Modern Electric Trolley. In July 2014, the STA Board approved an extension of the LPA from Gonzaga University, east to Spokane Community College, with the City of Spokane concurring in August 2014. The vehicle mode was further clarified to continue the consideration of electric propulsion technology, including inductive charging and battery storage options.

Through both of the LPA approval processes, the Browne’s Addition Neighborhood and downtown Spokane alignment were not fully defined. Several options exist through the neighborhood and downtown area and so it was decided that these two areas would be fully vetted through a public outreach and engineering design review process once the project was accepted into Project Development by FTA and prior to submission of a Small Starts Grant.

In June of 2015, the FTA approved the Central City Line to enter into Project Development. In October 2015, the STA Board of Directors and Spokane City Council approved a joint resolution (2015-0104 and 736-15) creating a Steering Committee for the purposes of establishing a collaborative process by which the Committee would provide policy-level advisory input and direction at key decision points in the implementation of the Central City Line. The first key decision point has been the consideration and recommendation of a preferred alignment through the downtown area. The Committee has met three times to review and discuss many aspects about the project but the primary focus has been to come to consensus on the preferred downtown alignment.

In total, there were five east-west alignment options the Committee considered, all of which included some combination of Spokane Falls Boulevard, Main Avenue and Riverside Avenue. These five alignment options were presented to the public and various organizations over several months to gather input into a preferred route. The project team has met with individual property owners and representatives; conducted three workshops; presented at three brown bag lunch meetings at varying locations throughout the alignment; and, presented to the boards of the Public Facilities District, Visit Spokane, and the Downtown Spokane Partnership.

The Steering Committee has met a total of three times, with the primary purpose of recommending a preferred alignment through the downtown area. The project team provided the Committee with technical planning and engineering guidance along with results of the public feedback from the various outreach events for their consideration. At their meeting on March 29, 2016, the Committee passed a motion to recommend the Main Ave./Riverside Ave. alignment through downtown Spokane. The north-south alignments near the Plaza will be determined through further engineering design and analysis.

The second alignment consideration has been the route through the Browne’s Addition Neighborhood. The team considered several route options through the neighborhood; however, only two options were considered viable due to technical and operational concerns such as right-of-way width, travel length, potential layover locations, visual impacts and traffic impacts. These two options were presented to the neighborhood at a workshop to gather their input. Based on the public input and technical analysis, the recommended route through the neighborhood is west on Pacific Ave., south on Spruce St., east on 2nd Ave., south on Cannon St., west on 4th Ave., north on Spruce St., and then east on Pacific Ave. towards the Plaza. This route provides for the most efficient route through the neighborhood using streets with adequate right-of-way and provides for the best layover and potential charging station location.

The second recommendation made by the Committee is clarifying and refining the vehicle mode. The current term “Modern Electric Trolley” is no longer applicable as the consideration for overhead wires is giving way to inductive or conductive charging technology with battery storage. The Committee has recommended that, moving forward the vehicle mode be defined as “Bus Rapid Transit vehicle using electric propulsion.” This term more closely describes the current vehicle design type, and the purpose and function of the Central City Line.

Pursuant to the joint resolution approved by the STA Board and the Spokane City Council, the Steering Committee has approved a recommendation on the preferred alignment through downtown Spokane and defined the vehicle mode, which is to be forwarded to the STA Board and Spokane City Council for consideration. This Locally Preferred Alignment recommendation is the result of considerable public input, technical analysis and discussion by the Committee.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Information Only

RECOMMENDATION TO BOARD:

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 8: CEO REPORT - INFORMATION

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: N/A

SUMMARY:

At this time, the CEO will have an opportunity to comment on various topics of interest regarding Spokane Transit.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: N/A

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 9 : NEW BUSINESS

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: N/A

SUMMARY:

At this time, the Committee will have the opportunity to initiate discussion regarding new business relating to Planning & Development.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: N/A

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 10 : COMMITTEE MEMBERS' EXPRESSIONS

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: N/A

SUMMARY:

At this time, members of the Planning & Development Committee will have an opportunity to express comments or opinions.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: N/A

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

**AGENDA ITEM 10.A: COMMITTEE MEMBERS' EXPRESSIONS – AMERICAN PUBLIC
TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE
UPDATE**

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: Candace Mumm, Spokane City Council
E. Susan Meyer, Chief Executive Officer

SUMMARY:

At this time, Ms. Mumm and Ms. Meyer will give a verbal update on the American Public Transportation Association Legislative Conference which they attended in March.

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: N/A

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____

**SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF**

April 6, 2016

AGENDA ITEM 11 : **REVIEW MAY 4, 2016, DRAFT AGENDA ITEMS – INFORMATION**

REFERRAL COMMITTEE: N/A

SUBMITTED BY: Karl Otterstrom, Director of Planning

SUMMARY:

At this time, members of the Planning & Development Committee will have an opportunity to review and discuss the items proposed to be included on the agenda for the May 4, 2016 Committee meeting.

Proposed agenda items include:

- Minutes of the April 6, 2016, Committee meeting – *corrections/approval*
- Federal Transit Administration Bus & Bus Facilities Discretionary Grant Authorization - *Action*
- Federal Transit Administration Section 5310 Project Funding Awards - *Action*
- Preliminary Draft Transit Development Plan:
 - Service Implementation Plan & Capital Improvement Program– *Report*
 - New Capital Improvement Program Projects - *Discussion*
- Comprehensive Plan Update – *Report*
- Central City Line Project Status Update - *Report*

RECOMMENDATION TO COMMITTEE: Review and discuss.

FINAL REVIEW FOR BOARD BY:

Division Head _____

Chief Executive Officer _____

Legal Counsel _____